

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspro.gov

- TON ICA TIONING		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO. 10/007,177	12/05/2001		Stephen Craig Dyar	5962-01-CA	5683
28880	28880 7590 02/18/2004			EXAMINER YOUNG, MICAH PAUL	
WARNER-		RT COMPANY			
2800 PLYMOUTH RD ANN ARBOR, MI 48105				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ANN ARBO	K, MI 4	CUIBI		1615	

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/007,177	DYAR ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Micah-Paul Young	1615				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day divill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 i	November 2003.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.					
-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	 Claim(s) 17-21 and 23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 						
Application	on Papers						
9)[Γhe specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[/ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment	(s)						
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/007,177

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgment of Papers Received: Amendment/Response filed 11/23/03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bar-Shalom et al (USPN 5,618,560 hereafter referred to as '560). The claims are drawn to a method of making a pharmaceutical dosage form comprising co-extruding the dosage form along with a coating composition. The coating being impervious to water or bodily fluids. The dosage forms are then cut and cooled.
- 3. '560 discloses a method for making pharmaceutical dosage forms with coatings (abstract). The coating can be impervious to water or bodily fluids, and can be co-extruded with an active agent core (col. 8, lin. 5 19, lin 59 63; col. 11, lin. 49 col. 12, lin. 57). The dosage forms are extruded in cylindrical forming a molten state with temperatures between 60 and 80 degrees Celsius (examples 3). The dosage forms are allowed to cool, and then are cut into segments (example 5). These disclosures along with other render the method of manufacture anticipated.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/007,177

Art Unit: 1615

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bar-Shalom et al (USPN 5,618,560 hereafter referred to as '560). The claim is drawn to a method of making a dosage form where the form is co-extruded and sliced into segments with a laser.
- 7. As discussed above '560 discloses a process for making pharmaceutical dosage form comprising co-extruding an impervious coating and a core, cooling the extrudate and slicing it into segments. However it is the position of the examiner that the laser slicing does not provide any structural difference or distinctive property to the resultant dosage forms. The examiner invites applicant to provide evidence that the laser slicing does in fact distinguish extruded pellets one from the other. The Office does not have the facilities for examining and comparing applicant's product with the product of the prior art in order to establish that the product of the prior art does not possess the same material structural and functional characteristics of the claimed product. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, the burden is upon the applicant to prove that the claimed products are functionally different than those taught by the prior art and to establish patentable differences. See Ex parte Phillips, 28 U.S.P.Q.2d 1302, 1303 (PTO Bd. Pat.

Application/Control Number: 10/007,177

Art Unit: 1615

App. & Int. 1993), Ex parte Gray, 10 USPQ2d 1922, 1923 (PTO Bd. Pat. App. & Int.) and In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 195 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1977).

8. With these things in mind, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to slice extruded segments in the way best fit for the purposes of the invention. The skilled artisan would have been motivated to follow the suggestions and teachings of '560 with an expected result of a method for making and slicing dosage forms.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17-21 and 23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/007,177 Page 5

Art Unit: 1615

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Micah-Paul Young whose telephone number is 571-272-0608.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-4:30 every other Monday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Micah-Paul Young

Examiner

Art Unit 1615

MP Young

Gollamudi S. Kishore, PhD

Primary Examiner

Group 1500